



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
HARRISBURG AREA OFFICE
284 J AVENUE
DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION CENTER SUSQUEHANNA
NEW CUMBERLAND, PA 17070-5016

April 30, 2010

New Cumberland Resident Office

C-0069

SUBJECT: Contract No. W912DR-08-C-0011, Guided Missile Facility, Letterkenny Army Depot, Chambersburg, Pennsylvania - Contractor Performance Evaluation on CCASS Website

Lobar, Inc.
1 Old Mill Road
P. O. Box 50
Dillsburg, Pennsylvania 17019

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to Contract Clause 52.0236-4000, Evaluation of Contractor Performance and Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) DD Form 2626, which is an automated information system.

Since final acceptance of the work has occurred, we have performed an evaluation of your company's work on the subject contract, and your performance evaluation has been entered into the CCASS automated information system. At this time you are allotted a thirty (30) day review/comment period starting from the date that the Assessing Official forwarded the evaluation, which is April 29, 2010. If the Defense Contractor Representative does not provide comments within the 30 day period, the Government may complete the evaluation without the Defense Contractor Representative comments.

Defense Contractor Representatives are encouraged to contact the Assessing Official to request an extension to the 30 day comment period whenever extenuating circumstances arise. The Defense Contractor Representative, within the first seven days of the comment period, may request a meeting with the Assessing Official to discuss the evaluation.

Access has been granted for your Defense Contractor Representative, Mr. David Myers, through the Focal Point of my office and your performance evaluation has been entered into the automated information system. Mr. Myers will be automatically emailed instructions through the automated information system on how to retrieve the performance evaluation for review and comment, his USERID is DMYER.

Be reminded that in order to access and use the automated information system to retrieve your CCASS evaluation, specific software is required, called PKI certification, which is installed on the user's computer. The certification is a Department of Defense requirement and was

implemented to provide security in electronic transactions. The certification software could cost approximately \$110.00 - \$125.00 per certificate per year and is purchased from an External Certificate Authorities (ECA) vendor. Current information about the PKI certification process and for contacting vendors can be found on the web site: <http://www.cpars.navy.mil/>. If the contractor wishes to participate in the performance evaluation process, access to CCASS and PKI certification is the sole responsibility of the contractor.

Further, a hard copy of your performance evaluation is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions regarding the above, please contact Mrs. Diane Bell (Focal Point) of my office at 717-770-7312 or by email at diane.bell@usace.army.mil.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael A. Ogden".

Michael A. Ogden
Contracting Officer's
Representative

Enclosure

April 30, 2010

New Cumberland Resident Office

C-0069

SUBJECT: Contract No. W912DR-08-C-0011, Guided Missile Facility, Letterkenny Army Depot, Chambersburg, Pennsylvania - Contractor Performance Evaluation on CCASS Website

Lobar, Inc.
1 Old Mill Road
P. O. Box 50
Dillsburg, Pennsylvania 17019

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to Contract Clause 52.0236-4000, Evaluation of Contractor Performance and Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) DD Form 2626, which is an automated information system.

Since final acceptance of the work has occurred, we have performed an evaluation of your company's work on the subject contract, and your performance evaluation has been entered into the CCASS automated information system. At this time you are allotted a thirty (30) day review/comment period starting from the date that the Assessing Official forwarded the evaluation, which is April 29, 2010. If the Defense Contractor Representative does not provide comments within the 30 day period, the Government may complete the evaluation without the Defense Contractor Representative comments.

Defense Contractor Representatives are encouraged to contact the Assessing Official to request an extension to the 30 day comment period whenever extenuating circumstances arise. The Defense Contractor Representative, within the first seven days of the comment period, may request a meeting with the Assessing Official to discuss the evaluation.

Access has been granted for your Defense Contractor Representative, Mr. David Myers, through the Focal Point of my office and your performance evaluation has been entered into the automated information system. Mr. Myers will be automatically emailed instructions through the automated information system on how to retrieve the performance evaluation for review and comment, his USERID is DMYER.

Be reminded that in order to access and use the automated information system to retrieve your CCASS evaluation, specific software is required, called PKI certification, which is installed on the user's computer. The certification is a Department of Defense requirement and was

implemented to provide security in electronic transactions. The certification software could cost approximately \$110.00 - \$125.00 per certificate per year and is purchased from an External Certificate Authorities (ECA) vendor. Current information about the PKI certification process and for contacting vendors can be found on the web site: <http://www.cpars.navy.mil/>. If the contractor wishes to participate in the performance evaluation process, access to CCASS and PKI certification is the sole responsibility of the contractor.

Further, a hard copy of your performance evaluation is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions regarding the above, please contact Mrs. Diane Bell (Focal Point) of my office at 717-770-7312 or by email at diane.bell@usace.army.mil.

Sincerely,

Michael A. Ogden
Contracting Officer's
Representative

Enclosure

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONSTRUCTION)		1. CONTRACT NUMBER W912DR08C0011	
		2. CEC NUMBER 052917143	
INCOMPLETE-DRAFTED			
IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.			
PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA			
3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (X one)		4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT	
<input type="checkbox"/> INTERIM (List percentage _____ %)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL	
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED		<input type="checkbox"/>	
5. CONTRACTOR (Name, Address, and ZIP Code) LOBAR INCORPORATED 1 OLD MILL RD DILLSBURG PA 17019 USA NAICS Code: 236220		6.a. PROCUREMENT METHOD (X one)	
		<input type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED	
		b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (X one)	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> COST REIMBURSEMENT	
		<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)	
7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK Guided Missile Facility, Letterkenny Army Depot, Chambersburg, PA Guided Missile Facility, Letterkenny Army Depot, Chambersburg, PA; Construction to accommodate missile maintenance operations. Standard maintenance operations will be located in two separate structures with an adjoining enclosed corridor (~40,000SF). One structure will consist of steel frames with masonry infill. The other structure will consist of load bearing walls (masonry or concrete) supporting steel beams. The roof of both structures will consist of precast, lightweight concrete panels. A third pre-engineered structure (~4,000SF) will be for operational field office space.			
(continued...)			
8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING			
14% Mechanical			
13% Earthwork			
8% Structural Steel			
7% Roofing			
(continued...)			
9. FISCAL DATA	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$10,540,900	b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS \$320,630	c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED
			d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$10,861,530
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES	a. DATE OF AWARD 02/20/2008	b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 07/10/2009	c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 09/18/2009
			d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 09/18/2009
PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR			
11. OVERALL RATING (X appropriate block)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING	<input type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	<input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY	<input type="checkbox"/> MARGINAL
			<input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY (Explain in Item 20 on reverse)
12. EVALUATED BY			
a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, BALTIMORE DISTRICT, HARRISBURG AREA OFFICE		b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 717-770-7312	
c. NAME AND TITLE MICHAEL A. OGDEN AREA ENGINEER		d. SIGNATURE	e. DATE
13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY			
a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code))		b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)	
c. NAME AND TITLE		d. SIGNATURE	e. DATE
14. AGENCY USE (Distribution, etc.)			

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY

15. QUALITY CONTROL							16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT						
N/A	O	A	S	M	U	N/A	O	A	S	M	U		
		X					X						
		X						X					
		X							X				
		X						X					
			X					X					
			X					X					
			X						X				
			X				X						
			X						X				
		X							X				
									X				
17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE							18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS						
		X					X						
		X							X				
		X							X				
			X						X				
			X						X				
		X						X					
			X					X					
		X						X					

20. REMARKS (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)

Small Business Utilization

Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No

Is small business subcontracting under this contract included in a comprehensive small business subcontracting plan? N/A

Is small business subcontracting under this contract included in a commercial small business subcontracting plan? N/A

Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A

EVALUATOR REMARKS: The Prime Contractor Lobar, Inc., was considered outstanding and above average with respect to effectiveness of management for their commitment to partnering at all levels of project management. As a prime contractor, Lobar, Inc., had been very responsive to customer issues and had maintained a close and effective working relationship with all parties involved. Lobar, Inc., had repeatedly demonstrated a willingness to work together that kept the interest of the project in mind. The integrity and cooperation displayed by Lobar has been outstanding.

Relative to Timely Performance and Quality Control, the contractor's Contractor's Quality Control (CQC) System and Representatives maintained their focus on providing a quality product, while working to meet the contract schedule. The contractor continually adjusted his work plan to minimize scheduled delays. This resequencing of the scheduled work and effective working relationships with all involved enabled him to complete the project on time.

In regards with Safety Compliance, the Prime Contractor's safety record was considered outstanding and above average. During the 550 day contract there were no recordable/reportable or property damage accidents. The contractor consistently held very productive and informative weekly safety tool box meetings with his employees and all subcontractor employees. The contractor's submitted safety plan was considered to be

(continued...)

7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK (...continued)
(P2 138526)

8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING (...continued)

6%	Masonry
2%	Flooring/Tile
2%	Cranes
1%	Fire Protection-Systems
1%	Welding
1%	Drywall/Gypsum Board
1%	Pre-Engineered Buildings
1%	Lightning Protection
1%	Painting/Wall Covering

20. REMARKS (...continued)
outstanding by the Government for it's content and workability. Safety was considered to be a priority on the project site and the contractor is commended for their efforts.